

Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Planning Council WATER & WASTEWATER FORECASTING TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Supplemental Material | Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Plan
APRIL 2024



Table of Contents

Section 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 General Methodology	1-1
1.2 Population Update	1-2
Section 2 Municipal Water Forecasting	2-1
2.1 Methodology	
2.1.1 Gallons per Capita per Day	
2.1.2 Plumbing Code Adjustment Factor	2-2
2.2 Municipal Water Forecasting Results	
2.3 Municipal Water Forecast Allocations	2-5
Section 3 Municipal Wastewater Forecasting	3-1
3.1 Methodology	3-1
3.2 Results	3-2
Section 4 Industrial Forecasting	4-1
4.1 Methodology	4-1
4.2 Results	4-1
Section 5 Agricultural Water Forecasting	5-1
5.1 Methodology	5-1
5.2 Results	5-2
Section 6 Energy Water Forecasting	6-1
6.1 Methodology	6-1
6.2 Results	6-2
Section 7 Regional Summary	7-1
7.1 Water Demand Summary	
7.2 Wastewater Summary	7-7
Section 8 References	7-1



List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Georgia's Historic Population and Growth Projections	1-2
Figure 1-2 Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Population Projections	1-3
Figure 2-1 Forecasted Municipal Water Demand for Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Council	2-4
Figure 2-2 Self-Supply Versus Public-Supply of Municipal Water Demand	2-5
Figure 2-3 Municipal Water Demand for Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Council by Aquifer	and
Basin	2-6
Figure 3-1 Total Wastewater Generated Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region by Type	3-3
Figure 3-2 2020 Snapshot of Wastewater Discharge Type per Watershed	3-3
Table 4-3 Industrial Wastewater Generation Forecast per County (MGD)	4-3
Figure 5-1 Agricultural Water Demand by Source Water Type	5-3
Figure 7-1 Regional Water Demand by Basin and Aquifer	7-1
Figure 7-2 Regional Water Demand by Sector	7-2
Figure 7-3 County Water Demand by Sector for 2020	7-2
Table 7-1 Summary of Water Demand per County (MGD)	7-3
Figure 7-4 Regional Wastewater Discharge per Basin	7-7
Figure 7-5 Regional Wastewater Discharge per Method	7-7

List of Tables

1-4
2-2
2-3
2-4
County
3-2
4-2
4-3
4-4
5-2
5-3
6-2



Section 1 Introduction

Municipal and Industrial Water and Wastewater Forecasts were originally developed for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Planning Council as part of the Georgia Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Plan (CSWMP) in 2011. Agricultural and Energy water needs were also identified and forecasted during the 2011 planning process. As part of the first 5-year review and revision of that plan, all of these forecasts, with the exception of the Industrial water and wastewater forecasts, were updated in 2017. In support of the 2023 plan update, the Agricultural, Energy, Municipal, and Industrial water and wastewater forecasts have been updated. This Technical Memorandum describes how the forecasts have been modified to account for changes in population and water use that have occurred since the 2017 forecasts were produced.

Throughout this report, the prior Regional Planning process that occurred in 2009 – 2011 is referred to as "Round 1" and the 2017 update is referred to as "Round 2". Thus, the current (2023) update is referred to as "Round 3".

The basic approach to updating the forecasts starts with the same methodology used in developing the Round 2 forecasts, which are described in various Technical Memoranda, and included as supplemental materials to the 2017 Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Plan.¹ The purpose of this Technical Memorandum is to describe where modifications to the Round 2 forecast methodology were made and to provide the revised forecast values.

1.1 General Methodology

The basic methodology for forecasting water demand is to estimate demand separately for each major water use sector. For each sector, water demand is estimated using a 'driver' multiplied by the 'rate of use'. The driver is defined as a countable unit that can be projected in future years, such as number of people, acres irrigated or megawatts of power. The rate of use is defined as the quantity of water used by the driving unit per unit of time, such as gallons per person per day, gallons per day per acre, or gallons per megawatt produced.

The planning process examines and forecasts water demand for four major sectors:

- **Municipal** this sector includes domestic, commercial, and low water use industries
- Industrial this sector includes higher water use industries

[&]quot;Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Water and Wastewater Forecasting Technical Memorandum," dated March 2017 (available at <u>https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/savannah-upper-ogeechee-region-technical-information</u>)



¹ See "Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Plan," dated June 2017 (available at <u>https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/savannah-upper-ogeechee-regional-water-plan</u>);

- Agricultural this sector includes major crops such as cotton, corn, peanuts, soybean, pecans, specialty crops, and nursery and horticulture; a snapshot of major livestock water use and golf course water use
- **Energy** this sector includes thermoelectric power generation

1.2 Population Update

State and County population projections are provided by the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget (OPB). These projections are used consistently throughout the state for multiple purposes such as transportation planning and allocation of education funds. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) is required to use these population projections in statewide water planning. The 2010 Census statewide population count was lower than had been projected for 2010 in the Round 1 projections, although this trend of lower population than projected does not hold true for all counties. The Round 1 forecast had the State's population growing at an annual rate of 1.83 percent while the current updated forecast grows at an annual rate of only 0.87 percent as shown in **Figure 1-1**.



Figure 1-1 Georgia's Historic Population and Growth Projections

While the trend of a lower population in 2020 than originally projected was seen statewide, each county had its own individual trend. For the region as a whole, the population obtained from the 2019 OPB data was 8 percent lower than the Round 1 projection for 2020. In addition, lower growth rates moving forward are predicted leading to a projected population in 2050 that is 28.4 percent less than the Round 1 estimate as shown in **Figure 1-2**. The new population projections (OPB, 2019) by county are shown in **Table 1-1**.





Figure 1-2 Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Population Projections



County	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
Banks	19,982	22,405	24,827	26,739	28,650	30,676	32,701	35,061	37,420
Burke	22,342	22,471	22,600	22,475	22,350	22,096	21,841	21,768	21,695
Columbia	158,631	168,271	177,910	181,916	185,922	187,156	188,389	187,889	187,389
Elbert	18,945	18,763	18,581	18,282	17,982	17,643	17,304	17,109	16,913
Franklin	23,329	24,491	25,652	26,764	27,876	29,077	30,277	31,762	33,246
Glascock	3,025	3,045	3,065	2,988	2,911	2,770	2,628	2,472	2,315
Hart	26,107	26,440	26,772	26,971	27,170	27,414	27,657	28,146	28,635
Jefferson	15,313	15,071	14,828	14,487	14,146	13,776	13,405	13,157	12,909
Jenkins	8,576	8,310	8,044	7,732	7,419	7,129	6,838	6,605	6,371
Lincoln	8,125	8,330	8,534	8,310	8,086	7,776	7,465	7,281	7,096
Madison	30,177	31,649	33,121	34,820	36,518	38,605	40,691	43,384	46,076
McDuffie	21,597	21,774	21,951	22,005	22,058	22,056	22,054	22,229	22,403
Oglethorpe	15,240	15,739	16,237	16,740	17,242	17,798	18,353	19,089	19,824
Rabun	16,986	17,314	17,641	17,920	18,198	18,710	19,221	20,044	20,867
Richmond	202,240	203,572	204,904	203,820	202,735	200,850	198,965	198,185	197,404
Screven	13,900	13,729	13,558	13,230	12,902	12,570	12,238	12,049	11,860
Stephens	26,328	27,128	27,927	28,654	29,381	30,254	31,126	32,335	33,544
Taliaferro	1,632	1,621	1,609	1,531	1,452	1,368	1,283	1,213	1,143
Warren	5,210	5,106	5,002	4,909	4,816	4,728	4,639	4,620	4,601
Wilkes	10,014	10,026	10,037	9,670	9,302	8,799	8,295	7,889	7,483
Total	647,699	665,250	682,800	689,958	697,116	701,243	705,370	712,282	719,194

Table 1-1 Population Projections per County



Municipal Water Forecasting

This section describes the methodology and results of municipal water demand forecasts for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region.

2.1 Methodology

The county level municipal water demand includes both public-supplied (i.e., utility) water demand and self-supplied (i.e., private well) water demand. The self-supplied water is associated with groundwater use, while the public-supply water is associated with either surface water or groundwater use as indicated by active permit data. Each county has an average weighted per capita water use value that was derived from an analysis of all reporting utilities within each county. In Round 1, 2005 utility data was used to determine the gpcd average for each county. In Round 1 gpcd values were adjusted based on the utility level data over the most recent four years. In Round 3, the county gpcd averages were based on utility water loss audits and then vetted through the regional councils. The following sections describe updates to the previous methodology used to produce the revised forecasts.

2.1.1 Gallons per Capita per Day

The Georgia EPD compiled and reviewed water loss audit data reported annually for water systems serving populations of 3,300 or more as mandated by the Georgia Water Stewardship Act (2011). The water supplied input value from the audit information was then divided by the population served from EPA's Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) database to calculate the total per capita water use of a system. A weighted average for counties with more than one system was developed using water loss audit data from 2015 to 2018. To account for treatment loss, three percent was added to counties that have a surface water treatment plant as these systems typically have an in-plant water use that offset the water produced.

If no data were available to EPD withdrawal information was divided by the population served value provided by the SDWIS database to calculate the per capita water use. Of the counties with available data, roughly one-half had a decrease in gpcd while the other half showed an increase in gpcd. Note that a decrease in gpcd could be due to conservation and water loss control efforts during this time period, or other factors such as an increase in population with less increase in water use, or a drop in water use (e.g., loss of industrial customer) with the same population. **Table 2-1** shows the Round 2 gpcd for each county in the region compared to the current updated gpcd.

The self-supplied value of 75 gpcd for each county (with 171 gpcd for Jefferson County) remains unchanged from Round 1.



	• •				
County	Round 2 Per Capita	Updated Per Capita	% Change		
Banks	101	211	109%		
Burke	132	128	-3%		
Columbia	153	132	-14%		
Elbert	102	190	86%		
Franklin	164	115	-30%		
Glascock	73	75	3%		
Hart	154	143	-7%		
Jefferson	169	171	1%		
Jenkins	101	110	9%		
Lincoln	67	109	63%		
Madison	139	104	-25%		
McDuffie	107	112	5%		
Oglethorpe	94	75	-20%		
Rabun	168	155	-8%		
Richmond	221	197	-11%		
Screven	161	189	17%		
Stephens	144	155	8%		
Taliaferro	71	75	6%		
Warren	73	137	88%		
Wilkes	156	143	-8%		

Table 2-1. Per Capita Demand Values by County, gpcd

2.1.2 Plumbing Code Adjustment Factor

In Rounds 1 and 2, the gpcd for each county was reduced over time due to the effects of plumbing codes based upon the age of housing stock in each county. Over time, as new houses are built with more efficiency fixtures, the county average gpcd will decrease. Previously a reduction (adjustment) was calculated for each county starting with zero in 2010 (the base year in Round 1) and increasing over time. For the current update, the plumbing code adjustment was extrapolated using the 2017 Regional Water Plan plumbing code adjustment. The revised plumbing code adjustment was then applied to both public-supplied and self-supplied water demand. **Table 2-2** shows the municipal public-supplied gpcd value over time for each county.



County	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
Banks	211.0	210.0	208.9	207.9	206.8	205.8	204.8	203.7	202.7
Burke	128.4	127.2	126.0	124.7	123.5	122.3	121.1	119.9	118.6
Columbia	132.0	131.1	130.2	129.3	128.4	127.5	126.6	125.7	124.8
Elbert	190.0	188.6	187.2	185.8	184.5	183.1	181.7	180.3	178.9
Franklin	114.7	113.5	112.3	111.1	109.8	108.6	107.4	106.2	105.0
Glascock	75.0	73.7	72.3	71.0	69.7	68.3	67.0	65.7	64.3
Hart	143.3	142.2	141.0	139.8	138.6	137.5	136.3	135.1	134.0
Jefferson	171.0	169.7	168.4	167.0	165.7	164.4	163.1	161.7	160.4
Jenkins	110.0	108.6	107.2	105.9	104.5	103.1	101.8	100.4	99.0
Lincoln	109.0	107.7	106.5	105.2	103.9	102.6	101.4	100.1	98.8
McDuffie	104.0	102.8	101.7	100.5	99.4	98.2	97.1	95.9	94.8
Madison	112.4	111.1	109.8	108.5	107.2	106.0	104.7	103.4	102.1
Oglethorpe	75.0	73.9	72.8	71.6	70.5	69.4	68.3	67.2	66.1
Rabun	155.5	154.4	153.4	152.3	151.3	150.2	149.2	148.1	147.0
Richmond	197.0	195.6	194.2	192.8	191.4	190.0	188.6	187.1	185.7
Screven	189.0	187.7	186.5	185.2	183.9	182.7	181.4	180.1	178.9
Stephens	155.4	154.1	152.7	151.4	150.1	148.8	147.5	146.2	144.8
Taliaferro	75.0	73.6	72.2	70.8	69.4	68.0	66.6	65.2	63.8
Warren	136.7	135.3	134.0	132.7	131.4	130.0	128.7	127.4	126.1
Wilkes	143.5	142.1	140.7	139.3	137.9	136.6	135.2	133.8	132.4

Table 2-2. Adjusted Public-Supplied GPCD

2.2 Municipal Water Forecasting Results

Table 2-3 shows the forecasted municipal water demand in millions of gallons per day (MGD) (public-supplied and self-supplied) by county in the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee region. The total regional demand is shown graphically in **Figure 2-1** along with a comparison of the Round 1 and Round 2 estimates. Region-wide the current municipal forecast is lower than in Round 1 and Round 2 due to the combination of lower population projections and generally lower per capita water use values.



County	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060	% Change
Banks	3.49	3.89	4.28	4.58	4.88	5.19	5.50	5.86	6.21	78.2%
Burke	2.11	2.10	2.08	2.04	2.00	1.95	1.90	1.87	1.84	-12.9%
Columbia	19.90	21.19	22.46	22.97	23.46	23.59	23.71	23.61	23.51	18.1%
Elbert	2.47	2.45	2.42	2.38	2.34	2.29	2.24	2.22	2.20	-10.8%
Franklin	2.47	2.56	2.65	2.73	2.81	2.89	2.98	3.08	3.18	29.1%
Glascock	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.15	-34.4%
Hart	2.86	2.94	3.01	3.07	3.12	3.18	3.24	3.33	3.43	19.8%
Jefferson	2.62	2.56	2.50	2.42	2.34	2.26	2.19	2.13	2.07	-20.9%
Jenkins	0.78	0.75	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.64	0.61	0.59	0.58	-26.4%
Lincoln	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.79	0.76	0.72	0.68	0.66	0.63	-21.8%
Madison	2.52	2.67	2.82	2.99	3.15	3.35	3.55	3.79	4.03	60.0%
McDuffie	2.34	2.34	2.34	2.33	2.31	2.29	2.27	2.27	2.27	-3.3%
Oglethorpe	1.15	1.18	1.20	1.22	1.24	1.26	1.28	1.31	1.34	16.5%
Rabun	2.64	2.66	2.69	2.70	2.72	2.77	2.81	2.89	2.97	12.7%
Richmond	40.67	40.64	40.61	40.10	39.60	38.94	38.30	37.86	37.43	-8.0%
Screven	1.56	1.52	1.49	1.44	1.38	1.33	1.28	1.25	1.21	-22.4%
Stephens	4.12	4.20	4.29	4.36	4.42	4.51	4.59	4.73	4.86	17.9%
Taliaferro	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	-40.4%
Warren	0.62	0.60	0.58	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.51	0.51	0.50	-19.7%
Wilkes	1.22	1.20	1.19	1.13	1.08	1.01	0.94	0.88	0.83	-32.2%
Total	94.69	96.62	98.50	98.83	99.15	99.01	98.86	99.09	99.31	4.9%

Table 2-3 Average Annual Municipal Water Demand Forecast by County (MGD)



Figure 2-1 Forecasted Municipal Water Demand for Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Council



2.3 Municipal Water Forecast Allocations

As noted above, the municipal water demand for each county is the summation of the publicsupplied and self-supplied water demand estimates for each county. The percent of county population that is public-supplied and self-supplied remains the same in future years This split of county population was derived from 2015 USGS estimates and were vetted through the regional council and stakeholder review process. **Figure 2-2** shows the split between self-supply versus public-supply water demand for the region.

As in the prior forecasts, it is assumed that all self-supplied (i.e., domestic residential) water use is from groundwater. The allocation of public-supplied municipal water among surface water and groundwater sources was originally determined in Round 1 by an analysis of surface water and groundwater permitted water withdrawals for municipal use by county. The percent of county public-supply municipal water by surface water and groundwater used to allocate the current county municipal water demand by sources was obtained from 2019 permitted withdrawals. The allocation of groundwater by aquifer (for the groundwater models) was also obtained from 2019 permitted withdrawals. The proportion of county municipal water demand by surface water basins and groundwater aquifers remain the same over time within each county.

Thus, the current county municipal water demand forecasts are allocated among surface water basins and groundwater aquifers for analysis with other components of the state water plan update. For the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee region, the majority (74 percent) of municipal water currently comes from surface water and this percentage is projected to rise in the future, as shown in **Figure 2-3**.



Figure 2-2 Self-Supply Versus Public-Supply of Municipal Water Demand





Figure 2-3





Municipal Wastewater Forecasting

This section describes the methodology and results of the current municipal wastewater demand forecasts for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region.

3.1 Methodology

Within the previous analyses (i.e., Round 1, Round 2), the municipal water demand served as the basis for estimating the municipal wastewater flows for each county with a portion of the water demand assumed to be indoor use that entered the centralized wastewater treatment system or septic systems. While self-supplied water demand was assumed to go to a septic system, public-supplied water in each county had a proportion going to septic and a portion to centralized treatment based on existing Georgia EPD permit data. Unlike the previous forecasts, a percentage was not added to centralized flows for inflow and infiltration (I/I) as I/I is accounted for in the reported discharge data. The centralized flow estimate was then allocated between point discharge (NPDES) and land application systems (LAS) based on reported discharges.

For the current update, the Georgia EPD provided an analysis of 2019 NPDES permitted discharges by county and a recommended methodology for the municipal wastewater forecast.

- The percent of county total wastewater flow that is septic was estimated based on Georgia Department of Public Health estimates of septic systems installed by county or based on percentage of septic households from 1990 census data.
- Future septic flow by county is estimated using 2019 discharge information by EPD multiplied by percent change in county population 2019 and each planning year (2020, 2030, 2040, 2050, and 2060).
- The sum of annual average 2019 NPDES point discharges by county are increased/decreased over time with the rate of change in the new county population projections to derive the new point discharge forecast for the county. The percent of county that is septic/centralized remained constant over time.
- Industrial flows larger than 0.2 MGD that are treated at the municipal wastewater facilities were removed from current flow data, calculated independently, and added back to the population-adjusted municipal wastewater forecast.
- The sum of annual average 2015-2019 land application system (LAS) flows by county are combined with any 2015-2019 subsurface flows (if any), and increased/decreased over time with the rate of change in the new county population projections to derive the new LAS + subsurface forecast for the county.



- The current LAS + subsurface flow forecast for the county is allocated among watershed basins based on the permit locations of the 2015-2019 LAS (and subsurface) flows in the county.
- County centralized flow is the sum of the point source discharges and LAS + subsurface discharges.
- County total wastewater flow is the sum of the centralized and septic flows.

3.2 Results

Table 3-1 shows the forecasted municipal wastewater generated per county in the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee region. The total regional wastewater generated is shown graphically in **Figure 3-1** separated between septic treatment and centralized treatment that is discharged via a point source or land application. **Figure 3-2** gives a snapshot of how the wastewater is discharged per watershed for 2020.

County	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	% Change 2020 to 2060
Banks	1.25	1.56	1.80	2.05	2.35	87%
Burke	2.64	2.68	2.65	2.59	2.57	-3%
Columbia	11.47	12.86	13.44	13.62	13.55	18%
Elbert	1.76	1.73	1.67	1.61	1.58	-10%
Franklin	1.91	2.10	2.28	2.47	2.72	43%
Glascock	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.14	-23%
Hart	2.02	2.07	2.10	2.14	2.22	10%
Jefferson	3.79	3.67	3.50	3.32	3.20	-16%
Jenkins	1.00	0.94	0.87	0.80	0.74	-26%
Lincoln	0.61	0.65	0.61	0.56	0.54	-13%
Madison	1.74	1.91	2.11	2.35	2.66	53%
McDuffie	4.30	4.37	4.39	4.39	4.46	4%
Oglethorpe	0.92	0.99	1.05	1.11	1.20	30%
Rabun	2.70	2.80	2.89	3.05	3.31	23%
Richmond	38.21	38.71	38.30	37.59	37.30	-2%
Screven	2.72	2.66	2.53	2.40	2.32	-15%
Stephens	2.37	2.51	2.64	2.80	3.02	27%
Taliaferro	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.07	-30%
Warren	0.48	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.42	-12%
Wilkes	0.89	0.89	0.82	0.73	0.66	-25%
Total	81.08	83.84	84.37	84.27	85.03	5%

Table 3-1 Total Wastewater Genera	ated in Savannah-Unner Ogeec	hee Planning Region per Co	unty (MGD)
	ated in Savannan-Opper Ogeee	Thee I latitling Region per co	





Figure 3-1 Total Wastewater Generated Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region by Type



Figure 3-2 2020 Snapshot of Wastewater Discharge Type per Watershed



Section 4 Industrial Forecasting

This section describes the methodology and results of industrial water and wastewater demand forecasts for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region.

4.1 Methodology

The original industrial water and wastewater forecast methodology was based on employment projections per industry with the 2010 water use multiplied by the expected employment growth rate into the future for that type of industry. The industrial wastewater flow was then estimated from a wastewater to water ratio developed for each industrial category. The original forecast was not updated during the 2017 forecast revision process.

In support of the current update, EPD identified industrial representatives throughout the State of Georgia to form an industrial water demand forecast stakeholder advisory group to represent the state's thirteen largest industrial water use sectors. It was then determined that employment projections were not a valid basis for estimating future water requirements of industries as water requirements are a function of production of which automation has reduced the number of employees per unit of production. Separate industrial sub-sector groups were subsequently formed to examine trends in water use for food processing, paper and forest products, mining, and manufacturing. The sub-sector advisory groups worked independently to review a variety of considerations for estimating future water demand and determined a variety of common and sector-specific conclusions.

Data was confidentially collected within the sub-sectors through trade association surveys and merged with EPD withdrawal data. The basis of projected water use for the majority of industrial facilities used the 10-year average water withdrawals from 2010 to 2019, however, there were some instances where data was limited to a 5-year average from 2015 or 2019 or reported water use for 2019.

It should be noted that information was shared between the industrial forecast team and the municipal forecast team to adjust for large industries supplied by municipal water systems. As a result, the municipal forecast excludes large industrial users from the calculation of the municipal water use per capita value and then adds the estimated industrial use to the estimated municipal water demand calculations.

4.2 Results

Table 4-1 shows the current (Round 3) industrial water demand by county as well as the percent increase in demand between 2020 and 2060. **Table 4-2** shows the same water demand broken down by industry with the majority of water demand occurring in the paper industrial classification category. Currently, 88 percent of the industrial water demand in the region comes from surface water and 1.2 percent of demand in Table 4-1 comes from municipal water systems.



Table 4-3 provides the forecast of industrial wastewater generated per County while **Table 4-4** gives the wastewater demand by discharge method. The vast majority (greater than 99.9 percent) of the industrial wastewater in the Planning Region is discharged via permitted point sources for the industrial facilities. A very small percentage is discharged via land application. Permitted stormwater discharges from mining operations have been excluded from the industrial wastewater is accounted for in the resource assessment from precipitation data.

County	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	% Change 2020 to 2060
Banks	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Burke	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0%
Columbia	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0%
Elbert	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.27	67%
Franklin	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Glascock	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0%
Hart	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Jefferson	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.14	0%
Jenkins	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0%
Lincoln	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Madison	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0%
McDuffie	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Oglethorpe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Rabun	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0%
Richmond	61.23	61.23	61.23	61.23	61.23	0%
Screven	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	0%
Stephens	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Taliaferro	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Warren	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0%
Wilkes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%
Total	71.78	71.81	71.85	71.88	71.89	0%

Table 4-1 Industrial Water Demand Forecast per County (MGD)



Industry	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Food	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.27
Manufacturing	12.78	12.78	12.78	12.78	12.78
Mining	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Paper	50.55	50.55	50.55	50.55	50.55
TOTAL	71.78	71.81	71.85	71.88	71.89

Table 4-2 Industrial Water Demand Forecast per Industry (MGD)

Table 4-3 Industrial Wastewater Generation Forecast per County (MGD)

County	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	% Change 2020 to 2060
Banks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Burke	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Columbia	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0%
Elbert	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.15	67%
Franklin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Glascock	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0%
Hart	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	0%
Jefferson	3.02	3.02	3.02	3.02	3.02	0%
Jenkins	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Lincoln	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0%
Madison	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0%
McDuffie	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Oglethorpe	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Rabun	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Richmond	53.40	53.40	53.40	53.40	53.40	0%
Screven	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	0%
Stephens	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0%
Taliaferro	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Warren	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0%
Wilkes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Total	61.81	61.82	61.85	61.86	61.87	0.1%



Discharge Method	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
Industrial – Point Source	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62	61.62
Industrial – LAS	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Industrial to Municipal Publicly Owned Treatment Plant (POTW)	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.15
Total Industrial Discharge	61.81	61.81	61.82	61.84	61.85	61.85	61.86	61.86	61.87

Table 4-4 Industrial Wastewater Generation Forecast by Discharge Method (MGD)



Agricultural Water Forecasting

This section describes the methodology and results of agricultural water demand forecasting for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region.

5.1 Methodology

Agricultural water demand forecasts were originally developed, and recently updated, by the Georgia Water Planning & Policy Center at Albany State University (GWPPC), with support from the University of Georgia's (UGA) College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences. GWPPC was contracted by Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GAEPD) to prepare estimates of current and future use of water by the agricultural sector in Georgia. The basic methodology involved estimating the projected irrigated area for each crop type and multiplying that area by the predicted monthly irrigation need in inches per each crop type. The proportion of irrigation water derived from different water source types was also considered. The projections cover row and orchard crops as well as most vegetable and specialty crops accounting for more than 95 percent of Georgia's irrigated land. Additionally, estimates of current use are made for animal agriculture, horticultural nurseries and greenhouses, as well as golf courses.

Field observations, aerial surveys, and remote sensing were used to identify the 2020 irrigated acres by county. USDA projections, the Southeast Model, Georgia Model and data trends were used by the project team to project crop acreage by county through 2060. The number of irrigated acres has increased from 2015 to 2020 in most counties. Therefore, the projected irrigated crop acreage for 2060 is higher than previous forecasts for most counties. Crop water needs estimates from 2015-2016 were reviewed and updated with data from recent crop metering data. Prior agricultural forecasts assumed that only 70 percent of surface water withdrawals were applied. This assumption was removed for the updated forecast. Estimates were developed for crop irrigation from groundwater and surface water from 2020 to 2060. Water use estimates for animals and horticulture were estimated by county for 2020 and held constant over time. Water use for animals and horticulture is assumed to be groundwater.

To address potential climate extremes, a range of agricultural demand scenarios were considered including wet, normal and dry years. The 75th percentile of water demand was selected to represent dry year conditions when higher irrigation demands are expected. For planning purposes, GWPPC used the 75th percentile values for each region to represent a more conservative scenario than the median value. It is the 75th percentile demands that are presented in this report.



5.2 Results

Table 5-1 shows the forecasted agricultural water needs by county in the Savannah-UpperOgeechee region. The region as a whole is expected to see an increase of 19 percent inagricultural water demand by 2060. Figure 5-1 shows the agricultural demands split by basinfor surface water and aquifer for groundwater with the same data also provided in Table 5-2.Currently 84 percent of the agricultural demand in the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee region is metfrom groundwater.

County	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	Percent Increase 2020 to 2050
Banks	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0%
Burke	39.89	41.04	42.52	44.17	45.95	15%
Columbia	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	0%
Elbert	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.91	0.90	2%
Franklin	1.43	1.43	1.43	1.45	1.44	1%
Glascock	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.29	0.28	15%
Hart	3.36	3.37	3.39	3.41	3.43	2%
Jefferson	24.30	25.15	26.32	27.55	29.14	20%
Jenkins	11.60	12.29	12.94	13.68	14.46	25%
Lincoln	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0%
Madison	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0%
McDuffie	6.20	6.21	6.22	6.26	6.24	1%
Oglethorpe	3.46	3.46	3.46	3.64	3.47	0%
Rabun	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0%
Richmond	1.06	1.10	1.17	1.10	1.32	24%
Screven	29.58	31.70	34.10	36.98	40.01	35%
Stephens	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0%
Taliaferro	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.13	0.06	0%
Warren	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.25	13%
Wilkes	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77	0%
Total	128.3	133.2	139.1	145.9	153.0	19%

Table F 1 Cavannah Ilmnan	Oceehaa Ac	uiaultural Damaand	Faraaat ma		
Table 5-1 Savannan-Ubber	Ugeechee Ag	ricultural Demand	Forecast be	er County I	
					(





Figure 5-1 Agricultural Water Demand by Source Water Type

Source Water Type	Basin/Aquifer	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	Percent Increase 2020 to 2060
	Savannah/Tennessee	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	4%
	Savannah/Ogeechee	6.3	6.4	6.6	8.6	7.0	12%
Surface Water	Ogeechee	8.0	8.4	8.9	9.7	10.0	25%
Water	Savannah	5.9	6.2	6.6	10.7	7.6	28%
	Sub Total	20.3	21.1	22.2	29.1	24.7	22%
	Cretaceous	53.7	55.4	57.6	58.4	62.7	17%
Croundwater	Crystalline Rock	19.6	19.6	19.6	20.9	19.7	0%
Groundwater	Floridan	34.7	37.1	39.7	37.5	45.9	32%
	Sub Total	108.1	112.1	116.9	116.8	128.3	19%
Total		128.3	133.2	139.1	145.9	153.0	19%

Tahla 5.2 Savannah I Innor	Ogoochoo	Agricultural Domand	Enrocast	nar Saurca I	MGDI
Table J-2 Javaillall-Opper	Ogeethee /	Agricultural Demanu	TUTELast	per source	



Energy Water Forecasting

This section describes the methodology and results of energy sector water demand for the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Region.

6.1 Methodology

Demands forecasted in this section are associated with future energy sector utilities (NAICS 22) power generation. Water demands associated with power generation by facilities with other industry codes are captured as part of the municipal and industrial water demand forecasts discussed in previous sections.

The analysis covers both water withdrawal requirements and water consumption associated with energy generation. Information related to water withdrawals is an important consideration in planning for the water needed for energy production. However, water consumption is also an important element when assessing future resources because a large volume of water is typically returned to the environment following the energy production process.

Water requirements for thermoelectric power generation facilities are estimated based on future energy demands along with the water requirements and consumption rates in gallons per megawatt-hour (MWh) for different power generating configurations. For a full discussion of the original forecast methodology see the 2010 technical memorandum "Statewide Energy Sector Water Demand Forecast" or the "Update of GA Energy Needs & Generating Facilities" memorandum. The following modifications to the original methodology were incorporated into the current estimates:

- Projections of the statewide energy demand were updated using the new population projections estimate "High Demand" and "Expected Demand" scenarios. Values of 10 MWh and 11 MWh per capita were assumed for the High Demand and Expected Demand scenarios, respectively.
- The list of existing facilities, facilities under construction, and planned and permitted new facilities was updated and reviewed by the stakeholder advisory group. In addition, some prior facilities were retired from service or converted from one generating configuration to another configuration. It was assumed that all coal-fired generating facilities in Georgia will be retired by 2040.
- The same water withdrawal and consumptive use factors (gallons per MWh) by generating configuration were maintained as previously developed.
- To meet the future energy demand, the energy generation of existing facilities is increased over time to a predetermined maximum sustainable generating capacity based on the generation configuration. As additional capacity is needed in the future, "new" capacity is added to the most likely to be developed generating configurations which are assumed to



be provided by natural gas and renewable energy. The increase in natural gas generation was assigned geographically to locations in which natural gas generating facilities currently exist.

• The estimated future generating capacity of existing facilities, and associated water requirements, is allocated to regions based on the location of the existing facilities.

6.2 Results

The only current facility that is in the Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Planning Council is Plant Vogtle. When completed, Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 will double the region's forecasted energy sector withdrawals and consumption. Thus, the surface water withdraws from the Savannah River to will double. **Table 6-1** shows the projected expected scenario average annual daily withdrawal and consumption at this facility over the planning horizon. Currently, 98.3 percent of the energy water demand in the region comes from surface water, 1.6 percent is from groundwater, and 0.1 percent comes from municipal water systems.

Demand Type	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Withdrawals	110.0	213.8	213.9	213.9	213.9
Consumption	70.5	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.3

Table 6-1 S	avannah-Upper (Ogeechee	Forecasted	Energy	Sector	Demands	(MGD)
10010 0 2 0	araman opper	00000000				2 C	(

In the previous statewide analysis, the generating capacity of the existing and planned facilities was not able to meet the projected statewide power needs through 2050 and additional generating capacity was assumed to be developed beyond 2020. Projections for the need of new energy capacity are less than estimated previously. Under the current energy forecasting effort, it was determined that planned generation levels will be sufficient enough to meet the expected need up to 2036. Because coal-fired generation is expected to decline and be retired by 2040, renewable energy and natural gas-fired facilities will be increased to generate the additional energy required to meet the expected demand. The expansion of Plant Vogtle will increase the power generation and water requirements throughout the planning horizon.



Section 7 Regional Summary

This section summarizes the water and wastewater forecasts within the region for all the sectors combined.

7.1 Water Demand Summary

The full regional water demand including municipal, industrial, agricultural and energy uses are summarized in the figures and tables of this section. **Figure 7-1** shows the regional water demand per basin for surface water withdrawals and per aquifer for groundwater withdrawals. **Figure 7-2** shows the regional water demand per sector with municipally supplied industrial and energy demand removed to avoid double-counting. **Figure 7-3** shows the sector breakdown by county for 2020. **Table 7-1** provides a breakdown of the demand by sector and source for each county for the planning period.



Groundwater demand has been assigned to priority aquifers. Gordon aquifer demands were reclassified as Floridan and Dublin aquifer demands were reclassified as Cretaceous.

Figure 7-1 Regional Water Demand by Basin and Aquifer





Figure 7-2 Regional Water Demand by Sector



Figure 7-3 County Water Demand by Sector for 2020



County	Sector	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	GW Agricultural	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67
	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.45	0.54	0.61	0.68	0.75
	Groundwater Total	1.12	1.21	1.28	1.35	1.42
Banks	SW Agricultural	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.001	0.009
	SW Municipal Public Supply	3.04	3.74	4.27	4.82	5.47
	Surface Water Total	3.05	3.75	4.28	4.83	5.47
	Total	4.16	4.95	5.55	6.18	6.89
	GW Agricultural	33.62	34.63	35.93	35.60	38.95
	GW Energy	1.79	3.49	3.49	3.49	3.49
	GW Industrial	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
	GW Municipal Public Supply	1.05	1.04	1.01	0.97	0.94
Burke	GW Municipal Self Supply	1.06	1.04	0.99	0.94	0.90
	Groundwater Total	37.73	40.41	41.62	41.20	44.49
	SW Agricultural	6.27	6.41	6.59	8.57	7.00
	SW Energy	107.97	210.23	210.23	210.23	210.23
	Surface Water Total	114.24	216.64	216.82	218.80	217.23
	Total	151.97	257.05	258.45	260.00	261.71
	GW Agricultural	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
	GW Industrial	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04
Calumbia	GW Municipal Self Supply	2.05	1.67	1.31	0.96	0.63
Columbia	Groundwater Total	3.35	2.97	2.62	2.27	1.94
	SW Agricultural	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03
	SW Municipal Public Supply	17.82	20.76	22.12	22.71	22.84
	Surface Water Total	17.84	20.79	22.15	22.73	22.87
	Total	21.19	23.76	24.76	25.00	24.80
	GW Agricultural	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.82	0.60
	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.77	0.69	0.62	0.55	0.49
	Groundwater Total	1.37	1.29	1.22	1.37	1.09
Elbert	SW Agricultural	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.09	0.29
	SW Municipal Public Supply	1.70	1.73	1.72	1.69	1.71
	Surface Water Total	1.98	2.01	2.00	1.78	2.00
	Total	3.34	3.30	3.22	3.15	3.10
	GW Agricultural	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.41	1.32
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.44	0.48	0.51	0.54	0.58
Franklin	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.48	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.60
	Groundwater Total	2.24	2.31	2.37	2.51	2.50
	SW Agricultural	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.05	0.11

Table 7-1 Summary of Water Demand per County (MGD)



County	Sector	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	SW Municipal Public	4 5 4	1.66	4 77	1.07	2.01
	Supply	1.54	1.66	1.//	1.87	2.01
	Surface Water Total	1.65	1.77	1.88	1.92	2.13
	Total	3.89	4.08	4.25	4.42	4.63
	GW Agricultural	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18
	GW Industrial	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	GW Municipal Self	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.15
Classes	Supply	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.15
Glascock	Groundwater Total	0.42	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.35
	SW Agricultural	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.10
	Total	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.45
	GW Agricultural	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.94	2.26
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.23
	GW Municipal Self Supply	1.02	0.87	0.73	0.60	0.47
Hart	Groundwater Total	3.42	3.30	3.18	3.75	2.96
	SW Agricultural	1.11	1.11	1.13	0.47	1.17
	SW Municipal Public Supply	1.70	1.97	2.20	2.44	2.72
	Surface Water Total	2.80	3.08	3.33	2.91	3.89
	Total	6.22	6.38	6.51	6.66	6.85
	GW Agricultural	19.05	19.66	20.51	21.72	22.53
	GW Industrial	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36
	GW Municipal Public Supply	1.56	1.49	1.40	1.30	1.23
Jefferson	GW Municipal Self Supply	1.06	1.01	0.95	0.88	0.84
	Groundwater Total	26.03	26.52	27.22	28.26	28.96
	SW Agricultural	5.25	5.49	5.81	5.82	6.62
	SW Industrial	2.78	2.78	2.78	2.78	2.78
	Surface Water Total	8.03	8.27	8.59	8.60	9.40
	Total	34.05	34.79	35.81	36.87	38.35
	GW Agricultural	8.96	9.50	10.02	9.91	11.23
	GW Industrial	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.47
Jenkins	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.35	0.28	0.22	0.16	0.10
	Groundwater Total	9.77	10.26	10.72	10.56	11.83
	SW Agricultural	2.64	2.79	2.92	3.77	3.23
	Total	12.41	13.05	13.64	14.33	15.06
	GW Agricultural	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Lincoln	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04
LINCOIN	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.15
	Groundwater Total	0.38	0.39	0.36	0.34	0.31



County	Sector	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	SW Municipal Public					
	Supply	0.55	0.56	0.52	0.47	0.43
	Total	0.93	0.95	0.88	0.81	0.74
	GW Agricultural	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.93	1.45	2.00	2.60	3.28
Madison	GW Municipal Self Supply	1.60	1.37	1.15	0.95	0.76
	Groundwater Total	3.44	3.74	4.07	4.46	4.95
	SW Industrial	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
	Total	3.57	3.87	4.20	4.59	5.08
	GW Agricultural	6.10	6.11	6.12	6.09	6.13
	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.29	0.25	0.21	0.18	0.15
	Groundwater Total	6.39	6.36	6.33	6.27	6.28
McDuffie	SW Agricultural	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.17	0.11
	SW Municipal Public Supply	2.06	2.09	2.10	2.09	2.12
	Surface Water Total	2.15	2.20	2.20	2.26	2.23
	Total	8.55	8.55	8.53	8.53	8.50
	GW Agricultural	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.43	315
	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.79	0.62	0.46	0.30	0.16
	Groundwater Total	3.95	3.77	3.61	3.73	3.31
Oglethorpe	SW Agricultural	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.21	0.31
	SW Municipal Public Supply	0.36	0.58	0.78	0.98	1.19
	Surface Water Total	0.67	0.89	1.09	1.19	1.50
	Total	4.62	4.66	4.70	4.92	4.81
	GW Agricultural	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.83	0.77
	GW Industrial	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.16
Data	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.44
Rabun	Groundwater Total	1.41	1.42	1.42	1.49	1.46
	SW Agricultural	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.02	0.08
	SW Municipal Public Supply	2.09	2.13	2.16	2.24	2.37
	Surface Water Total	2.17	2.21	2.24	2.26	2.45
	Total	3.57	3.62	3.66	3.75	3.91
	GW Agricultural	0.89	0.92	0.96	0.85	1.08
	GW Industrial	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09
	GW Municipal Public Supply	6.26	6.25	6.10	5.90	5.77
Richmond	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.30	0.30	0.28	0.26	0.25
	Groundwater Total	8.54	8.56	8.44	8.11	8.19
	SW Agricultural	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.25	0.24
	SW Industrial	59.81	59.81	59.81	59.81	59.81



County	Sector	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	SW Municipal Public	34 10	34.06	33.22	32.13	31 41
	Supply	54.10	54.00	55.22	52.15	51.41
	Surface Water Total	94.09	94.06	93.23	92.19	91.46
	Total	102.63	102.62	101.67	100.30	99.65
	GW Agricultural	25.76	27.59	29.65	27.57	34.67
	GW Industrial	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.86	0.83	0.78	0.73	0.69
Screven	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.70	0.66	0.61	0.56	0.52
	Groundwater Total	28.78	30.54	32.49	30.31	37.35
	SW Agricultural	3.82	4.11	4.46	9.41	5.33
	Total	32.60	34.65	36.95	39.72	42.68
	GW Agricultural	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
	GW Municipal Public Supply	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.18
Stephens	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11
otepheno	Groundwater Total	0.68	0.70	0.71	0.72	0.74
	SW Municipal Public Supply	3.89	4.04	4.17	4.33	4.57
	Total	4.57	4.74	4.88	5.05	5.31
	GW Agricultural	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
	GW Municipal Self	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.00	0.07
Taliaforro	Supply	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.07
Tallalerro	Groundwater Total	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.13
	SW Agricultural	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.07	0.003
	Total	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.22	0.13
	GW Agricultural	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.21
	GW Industrial	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43
	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10
	Groundwater Total	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.74
Warren	SW Agricultural	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04
	SW Industrial	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48
	SW Municipal Public Supply	0.49	0.46	0.43	0.41	0.40
	Surface Water Total	1.00	0.98	0.95	0.95	0.92
	Total	1.75	1.73	1.70	1.67	1.66
	GW Agricultural	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77
)M/ilkoc	GW Municipal Self Supply	0.27	0.26	0.23	0.20	0.17
Wilkes	SW Municipal Public Supply	2.04	2.03	2.00	1.97	1.94
	Total	2.99	2.96	2.85	2.71	2.59
Planning Regi	on Total Groundwater Demand	141.98	147.10	150.95	149.93	160.92
Planning R Wa	Planning Region Total Surface Water Demand		369.26	371.90	379.42	375.99
Planning Re	egion Total Demand	403.70	516.36	522.85	529.35	536.90



7.2 Wastewater Summary

The full regional wastewater forecasts including municipal, industrial and energy discharges are summarized in the figures and tables of this section. **Figure 7-4** shows the wastewater discharges per basin while **Figure 7-5** shows the forecasted discharge per method. **Table 7-2** provides a summary of the discharge type per county.



Figure 7-4 Regional Wastewater Discharge per Basin



Figure 7-5 Regional Wastewater Discharge per Method



County	Discharge Type	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	Land Application	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.20
	Point Discharge	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.14
Banks	Septic	1.08	1.34	1.54	1.76	2.02
	Tota	1.25	1.56	1.80	2.05	2.35
	Land Application	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Develop	Point Discharge	41.13	78.42	78.41	78.37	78.36
Burke	Septic	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.85	0.84
	Tota	42.02	79.33	79.30	79.25	79.23
	Land Application	0.34	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.40
Columbia	Point Discharge	9.69	10.81	11.28	11.42	11.36
Columbia	Septic	1.92	2.15	2.25	2.28	2.26
	Tota	12.32	13.71	14.29	14.47	14.40
	Point Discharge	1.07	1.05	1.02	0.99	0.96
Elbert	Septic	0.69	0.68	0.65	0.63	0.61
	Tota	1.76	1.73	1.67	1.61	1.58
	Point Discharge	0.86	0.95	1.03	1.12	1.23
Franklin	Septic	1.05	1.15	1.25	1.36	1.49
	Tota	2.22	2.41	2.59	2.79	3.03
	Point Discharge	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
Glascock	Septic	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.10
	Tota	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.16
	Land Application	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.88
Unit	Point Discharge	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96
Hart	Septic	1.22	1.25	1.27	1.29	1.33
	Tota	3.98	4.03	4.06	4.10	4.18
	Point Discharge	6.32	6.21	6.06	5.90	5.80
Jefferson	Septic	0.49	0.48	0.45	0.43	0.41
	Tota	6.81	6.69	6.52	6.33	6.21
	Point Discharge	0.57	0.53	0.49	0.45	0.42
Jenkins	Septic	0.43	0.40	0.37	0.34	0.32
	Tota	1.00	0.94	0.87	0.80	0.74
	Point Discharge	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.54	0.53
Lincoln	Septic	0.39	0.41	0.39	0.36	0.34
	Tota	0.95	0.98	0.95	0.90	0.87
	Land Application	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Madissi	Point Discharge	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
Iviadison	Septic	1.68	1.85	2.04	2.27	2.57
	Tota	1.75	1.92	2.12	2.36	2.67

Table 7-2 Summary of Regional Wastewater Flows at Applicable Nodes (MGD)



	Land Application		0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
McDuffie	Point Discharge		3.16	3.21	3.23	3.23	3.28
	Septic		1.03	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.07
Oglethorpe	Tot	tal	4.30	4.37	4.39	4.39	4.46
	Point Discharge		0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09
	Septic		0.85	0.91	0.97	1.03	1.11
Rabun	Tot	tal	0.92	0.99	1.05	1.11	1.20
	Land Application		0.34	0.35	0.37	0.39	0.42
	Point Discharge		1.49	1.55	1.60	1.69	1.83
	Septic		0.87	0.90	0.93	0.98	1.07
Richmond	Tot	tal	2.70	2.80	2.89	3.05	3.31
	Point Discharge		89.09	89.55	89.17	88.51	88.23
	Septic		2.52	2.55	2.53	2.48	2.46
Screven	Tot	tal	91.61	92.11	91.70	90.99	90.70
	Land Application		0.0003	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
	Point Discharge		3.58	3.53	3.43	3.33	3.27
	Septic		0.60	0.58	0.56	0.53	0.51
Stephens	Tot	tal	4.18	4.11	3.99	3.86	3.78
	Land Application		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
	Point Discharge		1.45	1.53	1.61	1.70	1.83
	Septic		0.95	1.01	1.06	1.12	1.21
Taliaferro	Tot	tal	2.49	2.64	2.77	2.93	3.14
	Point Discharge		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
	Septic		0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05
Warren	Tot	tal	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.07
	Land Application		0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Point Discharge		1.17	1.16	1.15	1.15	1.14
	Septic		0.19	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.17
Wilkes	Tot	tal	1.39	1.37	1.36	1.34	1.34
	Point Discharge		0.54	0.54	0.50	0.45	0.41
	Septic		0.34	0.34	0.32	0.28	0.26
Total	Tot	tal	0.89	0.89	0.82	0.73	0.66
	Land Application		1.86	1.97	2.03	2.09	2.18
	Point Discharge		163.62	202.60	202.54	201.86	201.70
	Septic		17.38	18.32	18.87	19.39	20.22
Grand Total			182.18	222.21	222.75	222.65	223.42



References

2017 Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Plan. Savannah-Upper Ogeechee Regional Water Planning Council. June 2017. <u>https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/savannah-upper-ogeechee-regional-water-plan</u>

Black & Veatch. 2020. Final Municipal Forecasting Methods Report; Report. <u>https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/forecasting/municipal-water-use</u>

CDM Smith. 2020. Statewide Energy Sector Water Demand Forecast; Technical Memorandum. <u>https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/forecasting/energy-water-use</u>

CDM Smith. 2020. Industrial Water Demand Forecast; Technical Memorandum. https://waterplanning.georgia.gov/forecasting/industrial-water-use

